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## Canadian Professional Planners National Employment Survey Report

## Background and Objectives

This survey was designed to gather information regarding the demographics, job responsibilities, and compensation and benefits of professional planners in Canada.

Subject areas and question topics included:
Demographics and Current Employment

- Gender and age, education, professional status
- Employment status and employer type
- Years of experience and area(s) of specialization
- Position in the organization and number of reports


## Current Compensation

- Satisfaction with current compensation
- Anticipated salary increase
- Compensation for overtime hours


## Workforce and Labour

- Hours in an average work week
- Overtime hours and compensation for overtime
- Work mode (in-office/ hybrid/ remote)
- Satisfaction (overall, with work mode, and with other aspects of the workplace)
- Recent work history (number of employers, number of positions, and reasons for change)
- Job vacancies and recruitment


## Benefits

- Benefits partially paid or completely paid by employer
- Vacation time


## Business Information (completed by Self-employed/consultant or Owner/principal)

- Years in business
- Full-time/part-time staff
- Billing rates


## Method

An email invitation to participate in this survey was sent to 9,441 regulated members of professional planning institutes across Canada. Contained within the email was a link to an online survey. The fieldwork for this survey ran from September 6th to October 16th. In total, 1,727 planners from across Canada responded. This represents a $18 \%$ response rate. In our experience, this is an average response rate for surveys of this type.

For each Provincial and Territorial Institute and Association (PTIA), the following table provides details related to the number of members receiving invitations, the number of responses, and response rate.

|  | MEMBERS \# | \# OF RESPONSES | RESPONSE RATE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | 1,519 | 352 | $23 \%$ |
| AB | 943 | 166 | $18 \%$ |
| SK | 255 | 107 | $42 \%$ |
| MB | 176 | 68 | $39 \%$ |
| ON | 4,389 | 830 | $19 \%$ |
| QC | 1,765 | 78 | $4 \%$ |
| NB/PE/NS/NL | 335 | 113 | $34 \%$ |
| YK/NT/NU | 59 | 11 | $17 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 9,441 | 1,725 | $18 \%$ |

## Margin of Error

With a total sample of 1,727 , the margin of error is plus or minus 2.2 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level.

If, for example, $50 \%$ of the respondents report achieving a certain level of education, then we can be reasonably sure (19 times out of 20 ) of an accuracy within $+/-2.2 \%$. This means that a total census of all regulated members would reveal an answer of not less than $47.8 \%$ and not more than $52.2 \%$.

The margin of error, as stated above, applies only when the full base is being reported upon, and when the proportion being tested is $50 \%$. As the base size being report decreases, the margin of error increases. But also, as the proportion being tested rises (e.g. 70\% instead of 50\%), the margin of error decreases.

## Definition of Mean

Throughout this report are tables that use the term "mean". The mean is simply the arithmetic average of a set of numbers. We use average and mean interchangeably. It is the sum of all values divided by the number of items in the list.

While the mean is an extremely useful statistic, it can sometimes be dramatically affected by very large or very small values in the dataset e.g. a very high number of hours worked per week may skew the "average hours in a work week" statistic.

## Reader Note

There are a few things to note when reading this report:

- Almost all charts are shown with rounding to the closest whole number.
- For a few geographic charts, one decimal place is shown in the percentages.
- On relevant tables we have shown the average 2019 salary along with the percentage change from 2019 to 2023.
- Categories that are labelled as $0 \%$ in charts are actually greater than $0 \%$ and less than $0.5 \%$.
- The group cut-off size for showing mean detail is usually 30 . Many categories of $<30$ are excluded from the average tables. Categories of $<30$ are sometimes combined into different groupings that appeared in the survey. For example, Newfoundland and Labrador ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) and PEI $(\mathrm{N}=4)$ are rolled into a Total Atlantic category, along with Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. This is most notable in the final section of the reported where the total base is 102 (those who identified themselves as "Self-employed/ Consultant" or "Owner/principal"). Results for any base size below 30 should be considered indicative and not conclusive.


## Demographics and Current Employment

## Province

Almost half of respondents (48\%) reside in Ontario, followed by B.C. (20\%) and Alberta (10\%). While average salaries are highest in the Northwest Territories, Nunavut, and the Yukon, they represent a sample of just 11 respondents. Among the more populated provinces, Alberta and Ontario lead in highest average salaries.

Overall, since 2019, salaries have risen by an average of $15 \%$. The table below itemizes percentage changes by province / territory. However, we note that some base sizes are very small and potentially unreliable.


| total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver. Sal } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver. Sal } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Chg } \\ 2019 \text { to } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ |
| Location of current residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1727 | 1727 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| British Columbia | 20\% | 352 | \$109,610 | \$98,674 | 11\% |
| Alberta | 10\% | 166 | \$114,152 | \$103,234 | 11\% |
| Saskatchewan | 6\% | 107 | \$96,745 | \$84,805 | 14\% |
| Manitoba | 4\% | 68 | \$94,328 | \$93,889 | 0\% |
| Ontario | 48\% | 830 | \$114,442 | \$98,991 | 16\% |
| Quebec | 5\% | 78 | \$97,564 | \$81,384 | 20\% |
| Total Atlantic (NB, NS, PEI, NFL) | 7\% | 113 | \$94,115 | \$78,124 | 20\% |
| New Brunswick | 1\% | 21 | \$99,048 | \$80,992 | 22\% |
| Nova Scotia | 5\% | 80 | \$92,750 | \$75,815 | 22\% |
| North (YT, NT, and NU) | 1\% | 11 | \$130,909 | n/a | n/a |

First Letter of Postal Code of Residence - Concentration of Responses
B.C. (20\%), Central Ontario (16\%), and Toronto (12\%) lead in the concentration of respondents' residences by postal code.

First Letter of Postal Code


## First Letter of Postal Code of Residence - Average Salary

The Northwest Territories (caution - small sample size) lead in terms of average salary by postal code, followed by Toronto.
tOTAL

|  | \% | N | Aver. Sal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First letter of PC of residence |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1727 | 1727 | \$109,448 |
| $V$ - b.C. | 20\% | 349 | \$109,752 |
| T-Alt. | 10\% | 164 | \$114,325 |
| S - Sask. | 6\% | 104 | \$96,845 |
| R-Man. | 4\% | 67 | \$94,848 |
| P-N. Ont. | 2\% | 27 | \$113,333 |
| N-SW Ont. | 10\% | 165 | \$106,688 |
| M - Toronto | 12\% | 204 | \$120,791 |
| L - Cent. Ont. | 16\% | 268 | \$117,595 |
| K-E. Ont. | 9\% | 157 | \$109,150 |
| $J$ - Que. West | 2\% | 39 | \$105,897 |
| H Metro Montreal | 1\% | 23 | \$96,522 |
| G - Que. East | 1\% | 15 | \$78,667 |
| E-N.B. | 1\% | 21 | \$99,048 |
| C-P.E.I. | 0\% | 4 | \$85,000 |
| B - N.S. | 5\% | 79 | \$93,418 |
| A - Nfld and Lab. | 0\% | 8 | \$99,375 |
| Y-Yukon | 0\% | 4 | \$118,750 |
| $X-N W T$ | 0\% | 7 | \$137,857 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 22 | \$96,364 |

## Size of Municipality Where Currently Employed

Over two-thirds of respondents (69\%) are employed in municipalities of populations of 100,000 or greater. Over a quarter (28\%) are employed in centers of 1 million or greater, and report the highest average salaries.

We see the largest percentage increase in salaries in smaller centres i.e. those with a population of 25,000 to 49,999.


TOTAL

|  | \% | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. Salary } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. Salary } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Chg. } 2019 \\ & \text { to } 2023 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of municipality where currently employed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1727 | 1727 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| Less than 25,000 | 14\% | 234 | \$99,957 | \$87,028 | 15\% |
| 25,000 to 49,999 | 7\% | 120 | \$106,792 | \$88,261 | 21\% |
| 50,000 to 99,999 | 9\% | 148 | \$105,274 | \$92,414 | 14\% |
| 100,000 to 499,999 | 27\% | 462 | \$105,925 | \$92,546 | 14\% |
| 500,000 to 999,999 | 14\% | 235 | \$108,739 | \$97,508 | 12\% |
| Greater than 1 million | 28\% | 478 | \$119,841 | \$103,613 | 16\% |
| Estimated average (000s) | 555 | 555 |  |  |  |

## City or Town Where Employer is Located - Distribution of Respondents

There is a fairly broad distribution of respondents by town or city, with miscellaneous Ontario areas (21\%), Toronto (11\%), and miscellaneous B.C. areas (12\%) accounting for the highest concentrations.

## City or Town Where Employer is Located



## City or Town Where Employer is Located - Average Salaries

Vaughan, ON, leads in terms of the highest average salary across Canada. The percentage change in salaries since 2019, shows a great deal of variation across the country.

TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Chg 2019 <br> to 2023 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City or town where employer <br> is located |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Vancouver | $5 \%$ | 93 | $\$ 122,120$ | $\$ 102,929$ | $19 \%$ |
| Victoria | $2 \%$ | 26 | $\$ 127,885$ | $\$ 93,563$ | $37 \%$ |
| Misc. BC | $12 \%$ | 212 | $\$ 100,900$ | $\$ 97,688$ | $3 \%$ |
| Calgary | $3 \%$ | 59 | $\$ 108,475$ | $\$ 99,991$ | $8 \%$ |
| Edmonton | $2 \%$ | 43 | $\$ 127,500$ | $\$ 113,250$ | $13 \%$ |
| Misc. AB | $3 \%$ | 55 | $\$ 113,455$ | $\$ 99,962$ | $13 \%$ |
| Regina | $1 \%$ | 23 | $\$ 96,522$ | $\$ 97,465$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Saskatoon | $4 \%$ | 61 | $\$ 97,131$ | $\$ 82,873$ | $17 \%$ |
| Misc. SK | $1 \%$ | 17 | $\$ 103,824$ | $\$ 74,433$ | $39 \%$ |
| Winnipeg | $3 \%$ | 50 | $\$ 92,000$ | $\$ 97,927$ | $-6 \%$ |
| Misc. MB | $1 \%$ | 14 | $\$ 91,429$ | $\$ 82,640$ | $11 \%$ |
| Guelph | $1 \%$ | 20 | $\$ 105,789$ | $\$ 106,507$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Hamilton | $1 \%$ | 24 | $\$ 117,292$ | $\$ 88,587$ | $32 \%$ |
| Kitchener/Waterloo | $2 \%$ | 40 | $\$ 107,375$ | $\$ 86,759$ | $24 \%$ |
| London | $1 \%$ | 23 | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 107,146$ | $3 \%$ |
| Mississauga | $1 \%$ | 23 | $\$ 114,348$ | $\$ 93,114$ | $23 \%$ |
| Ottawa | $4 \%$ | 75 | $\$ 112,703$ | $\$ 104,621$ | $8 \%$ |
| Toronto | $11 \%$ | 193 | $\$ 122,124$ | $\$ 104,075$ | $17 \%$ |
| Vaughan | $1 \%$ | 24 | $\$ 141,667$ | $\$ 101,827$ | $39 \%$ |
| Misc. ON | $21 \%$ | 365 | $\$ 110,220$ | $\$ 96,378$ | $14 \%$ |
| Montreal | $2 \%$ | 26 | $\$ 99,615$ | $\$ 85,329$ | $17 \%$ |
| Misc. QC | $3 \%$ | 44 | $\$ 95,000$ | $\$ 74,150$ | $28 \%$ |
| Misc. NB | $1 \%$ | 21 | $\$ 99,048$ | $\$ 79,497$ | $25 \%$ |
| Misc. PEI | $0 \%$ | 3 | $\$ 73,333$ | $\$ 79,657$ | $-8 \%$ |
| Halifax | $3 \%$ | 55 | $\$ 91,455$ | $\$ 77,497$ | $18 \%$ |
| Misc. NS | $1 \%$ | 17 | $\$ 97,059$ | $\$ 78,568$ | $24 \%$ |
| Misc. NL | $0 \%$ | 8 | $\$ 99,375$ | $\$ 65,962$ | $51 \%$ |
| Misc. Territories | $1 \%$ | 11 | $\$ 130,909$ | $\$ 88,029$ | $49 \%$ |

## First Letter of Postal Code of Employer

Employer postal codes are concentrated mainly in B.C. (19\%) and Ontario (43\%).

First Letter of Postal Code of Employer


Age

Two-thirds of respondents (67\%) fall into the $26-45$ years age group. Peak earning years appear to be for respondents in the 41-65 years age range. We see 20+\% increases in several age cohorts: 26 to 30, 41 to 45,61 to 65 , and those over 70.



## Estimated average age: 40.7.

For men: 42.8; for women: 38.8.
In 2019, the estimated average age for the sample of 1,850 was 41.3.
total

| Age | \% | N | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Chg 2019 <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\$ 109, \mathbf{4 4 8}$ | $\$ 95,078$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 25 and under | $3 \%$ | 56 | $\$ 65,700$ | $\$ 56,944$ | $15 \%$ |
| 26 to 30 | $17 \%$ | 290 | $\$ 81,993$ | $\$ 67,861$ | $21 \%$ |
| 31 to 35 | $19 \%$ | 336 | $\$ 94,474$ | $\$ 81,449$ | $16 \%$ |
| 36 to 40 | $17 \%$ | 286 | $\$ 109,246$ | $\$ 93,246$ | $17 \%$ |
| 41 to 45 | $14 \%$ | 248 | $\$ 125,665$ | $\$ 102,780$ | $22 \%$ |
| 46 to 50 | $8 \%$ | 143 | $\$ 127,448$ | $\$ 109,966$ | $16 \%$ |
| 51 to 55 | $8 \%$ | 137 | $\$ 133,787$ | $\$ 117,020$ | $14 \%$ |
| 56 to 60 | $7 \%$ | 114 | $\$ 136,339$ | $\$ 127,693$ | $7 \%$ |
| 61 to 65 | $3 \%$ | 53 | $\$ 140,288$ | $\$ 114,877$ | $22 \%$ |
| 66 to 70 | $2 \%$ | 32 | $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 136,597$ | $-8 \%$ |
| Over 70 | $2 \%$ | 26 | $\$ 117,895$ | $\$ 85,626$ | $38 \%$ |

## Gender

Women in our sample slightly outnumber men (50\% to 47\%). While both men and women have enjoyed a $16 \%$ increase in salaries since 2019, the gap in earnings continues.

Gender


TOTAL

|  | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary <br> 2023 | Av.Salary <br> Gender |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 Chg. 201S |  |  |  |  |  |
| to 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |

[Gender refers to an individual's personal and social identity as a man, woman, or non-binary person, as opposed to sex, which is typically assigned at birth based on a person's reproductive system and other physical characteristics.]

## Identifies As Part of An Equity-Deserving Group

Approximately one-quarter of respondents (24\%) identify as part of an equity-deserving group, while over two-thirds of respondents (67-68\%) do not. Respondents who identify as part of an equitydeserving group make roughly $89 \%$ of the average salary of those who don't identify as part of such a group.

## Identifies as Part of an Equity-Deserving Group



TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifies as part of an equity-deserving group |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\$ 109,448$ |
| Yes | $24 \%$ | 418 | $\$ 100,308$ |
| No | $67 \%$ | 1165 | $\$ 112,081$ |
| Prefer not to say | $7 \%$ | 127 | $\$ 114,545$ |
| Not stated | $1 \%$ | 17 | $\$ 113,235$ |

[An equity-deserving group is a community that experiences significant collective barriers in participating in society. This could include attitudinal, historic, social, and environmental barriers based on age, ethnicity, disability, economic status, gender, nationality, race, sexual orientation, and transgender status, etc.]

## Identifies as an Indigenous Person

Just 1\% of respondents identify as an Indigenous person, which is similar to the 2019 survey.


|  | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av.Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Chg 2019 <br> to 2023 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifies as an Indigenous person |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Yes | $1 \%$ | 24 | $\$ 111,667$ | $\$ 98,143$ | $14 \%$ |
| No | $95 \%$ | 1643 | $\$ 109,153$ | NA | NA |
| Prefer not to say | $3 \%$ | 57 | $\$ 118,214$ | NA | NA |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | 3 | $\$ 86,667$ | NA | NA |

[An Indigenous person in Canada is First Nations (Status/Non-Status), Métis, or Inuit.]

## Identifies as Part of a Visible Minority

Fifteen percent of respondents identify as part of a visible minority. They make approximately $88 \%$ of the salaries of those who do not identify as part of a visible minority. Their salaries have risen by $10 \%$ over 2019 levels.

Identifies as Part of a Visible Minority


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Chg 2019 <br> to 2023 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identifies as part of a visible minority |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS |  | 1727 | 1727 | $\$ 109,448$ | $\$ 95,078$ |
| Yes | $15 \%$ | 253 | $\$ 97,910$ | $\$ 88,983$ | $10 \%$ |
| No | $81 \%$ | 1397 | $\$ 110,824$ | $N A$ | NA |
| Prefer not to say | $4 \%$ | 65 | $\$ 128,033$ | $N A$ | $N A$ |

[A member of a visible minority in Canada is someone (other than an Indigenous person, defined above), who self-identifies as non-white in colour or not-Caucasian in racial origin, regardless of birthplace or citizenship.]

## Sexual Orientation

While based on small subsample sizes, gay or lesbian respondents make about 98\% of the national average salary, while bisexual respondents make about $81 \%$. Again, these are relatively small sample sizes.

## Sexual Orientation



TOTAL

| Sexual orientation |  | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | Av. Salary |
| Heterosexual/straight | $80 \%$ | 1376 | $\$ 109,448$ |
| Diverse sexual orientation | $9 \%$ | 162 | $\$ 99,808$ |
| Prefer not to say | $9 \%$ | 157 | $\$ 114,133$ |

## Identifies As a Person with Disability

Seven percent of respondents identify as persons with a disability, and make about $87 \%$ of the national average salary. The percentage increase since 2019 (10\%) has been less than the total sample.

Identifies as a Person with a Disability

[A person with disability means a person who has a long-term or recurring physical, mental, sensory, psychiatric, or learning impairment.]

## Equity Deserving Groups and Base Salary

The two most highly compensated groups, "None of these" and "Indigenous", are:

- More represented in the executive/principal and senior planner categories,
- Older,
- More experienced,
- In a staff supervisory role, and
- Are more represented at the professional planner level, as opposed to candidates or precandidates.

|  | total |  | Equity-deserving group self-identification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Eqtydsrv. Group | Indigenous | Vis. Min. | LGB | Pers. w. disability | None of these | Avg. Salary |
| total respondents | 1695 | 1695 | 406 | 24 | 244 | 159 | 109 | 929 | \$109,449 |
| Estimated average base salary | \$109,449 | \$109,449 | \$100,308 | \$111,667 | \$97,910 | \$99,808 | \$95,000 | \$113,681 |  |
| Executive/Principal | 16\% | 271 | 8\% | 17\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 20\% | \$157,343 |
| Senior (10+ years) | 40\% | 672 | 34\% | 38\% | 34\% | 30\% | 34\% | 42\% | \$115,990 |
| Intermediate (4 to 9 years) | 29\% | 488 | 34\% | 33\% | 35\% | 38\% | 32\% | 27\% | \$91,270 |
| Junior (1 to 3 years) | 12\% | 198 | 19\% | 8\% | 20\% | 23\% | 24\% | 8\% | \$72,626 |
| Entry-level/recent graduate | 3\% | 45 | 3\% | 0\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | \$65,000 |
| Estimated average age | 40.7 | 40.7 | 37.7 | 41.7 | 36.4 | 35.3 | 39.8 | 42.1 |  |
| Mean years in a planning position | 13.7 | 13.7 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 15.4 |  |
| Supervises and/or manages other staff or employees | 49\% | 827 | 42\% | 58\% | 35\% | 45\% | 32\% | 53\% | \$129,250 |
| Professional Planner | 72\% | 1235 | 60\% | 79\% | 59\% | 51\% | 52\% | 78\% | \$119,596 |
| Candidate | 21\% | 359 | 26\% | 21\% | 28\% | 36\% | 35\% | 17\% | \$85,223 |
| Pre-Candidate/Subscriber | 6\% | 106 | 12\% | 0\% | 12\% | 10\% | 11\% | 4\% | \$74,681 |

## Was Born in Canada

Respondents who were not born in Canada represent 14\% of respondents, and make about 96\% of the average national salary.

Was Born in Canada


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\%$ Chg $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Was born in Canada <br> TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Yes | $83 \%$ | 1441 | $\$ 110,144$ | NA | NA |
| No | $14 \%$ | 240 | $\$ 105,240$ | $\$ 91,454$ | $15 \%$ |
| Prefer not to say | $1 \%$ | 15 | $\$ 121,333$ | NA | NA |
| Not stated | $2 \%$ | 31 | $\$ 102,143$ | NA | NA |

## Current Status in Canada

Almost four-fifths (79\%) of respondents are Canadian citizens.

## Current Status in Canada



## tOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current status in Canada |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 5 , 2 4 0}$ |
| Citizen | $79 \%$ | 189 | $\$ 109,478$ |
| Permanent Resident | $17 \%$ | 40 | $\$ 91,875$ |
| Temporary Resident | $4 \%$ | 10 | $\$ 66,667$ |

Half of respondents (51\%) report having a Masters level accredited planning degree, while another 36\% have a Bachelors level degree. The level of degree attained makes a relatively small difference in respondents' average salary.


TOTAL

|  | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Chg 2019 <br> to 2023 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Has an accredited planning degree |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Yes, Masters level | $51 \%$ | 873 | $\$ 107,952$ | $\$ 92,991$ | $16 \%$ |
| Yes, Bachelors level | $36 \%$ | 614 | $\$ 110,479$ | $\$ 93,756$ | $18 \%$ |
| No | $17 \%$ | 298 | $\$ 111,349$ | $\$ 102,712$ | $8 \%$ |

## Bachelors Level Degree Issued Inside or Outside of Canada

Just three percent of respondents report having a Bachelors level degree obtained or issued outside of Canada.

Bachelors Level Degree Inside or Outside of Canada


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | N | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelors level degree issued inside or <br> outside of Canada |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 614 | 614 | $\$ 110,479$ |
| Canada | $97 \%$ | 598 | $\$ 110,569$ |
| International | $3 \%$ | 16 | $\$ 107,188$ |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |

## Masters Level Degree Issued Inside or Outside of Canada

Four percent of respondents report a Masters level degree obtained or issued outside of Canada. While based on a small sample, these respondents report making more than the average national salary reported for this sample set.

## Masters Level Degree Issued Inside or Outside of Canada



TOTAL

| Masters level degree issued inside or | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outside of Canada |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 7 , 9 5 2}$ |
| Canada | $96 \%$ | 839 | $\$ 107,218$ |
| International | $4 \%$ | 34 | $\$ 125,735$ |

Bachelors Level Canadian Accredited Planning Degree: Graduation Year

Almost two-thirds of respondents (64\%) obtained their Bachelors level accredited planning degree from 2005 on. Older respondents (those who obtained their degrees in 2004 and earlier) typically lead in average salary, as might be expected due to their length of tenure.


TOTAL

Bachelors level Canadian accredited planning degree:
Graduation Year

TOTAL RESPONDENTS

473
Before 1985
1985 to 1989
1990 to 1994
1995 to 1999
2000 to 2004
2005 to 2009
2010 to 2014 18\% 86 \$104,235

| 2015 to 2019 | $22 \%$ | 103 | $\$ 91,748$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2020 or later | $11 \%$ | 51 | $\$ 68,725$ |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | 0 | $\$ 0$ |

Masters Level Canadian Accredited Planning Degree: Graduation Year

Almost two-thirds of respondents (63\%) graduated with their Masters level degree from 2010 onward.

Masters Level Canadian Accredited Planning Degree: Graduation Year


TOTAL

| Masters level Canadian accredited <br> planning degree: Graduation Year | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 587 | 587 | $\$ 107,904$ |
| Before 1985 | $2 \%$ | 13 | $\$ 132,778$ |
| 1985 to 1989 | $2 \%$ | 14 | $\$ 135,000$ |
| 1990 to 1994 | $5 \%$ | 31 | $\$ 134,828$ |
| 1995 to 1999 | $6 \%$ | 36 | $\$ 130,417$ |
| 2000 to 2004 | $8 \%$ | 45 | $\$ 143,111$ |
| 2005 to 2009 | $13 \%$ | 75 | $\$ 126,667$ |
| 2010 to 2014 | $15 \%$ | 90 | $\$ 112,222$ |
| 2015 to 2019 | $28 \%$ | 164 | $\$ 95,915$ |
| 2020 or later | $20 \%$ | 119 | $\$ 76,491$ |

## Other Degrees or Diplomas

Approximately two-thirds of respondents (65\%) report having a Bachelor's degree, in terms of other degrees or diplomas. Those with a Doctorate report the highest average salary $(\$ 122,581)$.


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other degrees or diplomas |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\$ 109,448$ |
| Diploma/Post-graduate diploma | $14 \%$ | 234 | $\$ 117,143$ |
| Bachelors (only) | $47 \%$ | 814 | $\$ 106,746$ |
| Bachelors (at all) | $65 \%$ | 1117 | $\$ 108,268$ |
| Masters | $18 \%$ | 316 | $\$ 114,367$ |
| L.LB | $0 \%$ | 2 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Doctorate | $2 \%$ | 32 | $\$ 122,581$ |
| Other | $5 \%$ | 81 | $\$ 106,456$ |
| None of these | $16 \%$ | 273 | $\$ 107,519$ |
| Not stated | $4 \%$ | 75 | $\$ 99,000$ |

## Professional Planning Status

Almost three-quarters of respondents (72\%) have a professional planner designation. Salaries for this group have risen by 18\% since 2019.

Professional Planning Status


TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary 2023 | Av. Salary 2019 | \% Chg 2019 to 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional planning status |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1727 | 1727 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| Professional Planner (RPP, LPP, Urbaniste, MCIP) | 72\% | 1235 | \$119,596 | \$101,562 | 18\% |
| Candidate | 21\% | 359 | \$85,223 | \$70,227 | 21\% |
| Pre-Candidate/ Subscriber | 6\% | 106 | \$74,681 | \$70,453 | 6\% |
| Other | 2\% | 27 | \$84,211 | \$80,920 | 4\% |

## Additional Professional Designations/Credentials

Over three-quarters of respondents (77\%) report having no other professional designations/credentials. Note: the base sizes in the table below are very small. LEED was mentioned 26 times in 2019 and 13 times in 2023.

## Additional Professional Designations



TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additional professional designations |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\$ 109,448$ |
| Project Management Professional (PMP) | $2 \%$ | 26 | $\$ 128,462$ |
| AICP/FAICP | $1 \%$ | 18 | $\$ 134,722$ |
| P.Eng | $1 \%$ | 16 | $\$ 136,333$ |
| Professional Land Economist (PLE) | $1 \%$ | 14 | $\$ 149,286$ |
| LEED | $1 \%$ | 13 | $\$ 116,538$ |
| CSLA/FCSLA | $1 \%$ | 12 | $\$ 117,500$ |
| Certified Planning Technician (CPT) | $1 \%$ | 12 | $\$ 97,727$ |
| MRAIC/FRAIC | $0 \%$ | 7 | $\$ 143,571$ |
| Other | $7 \%$ | 118 | $\$ 113,739$ |
| No other designations | $77 \%$ | 1333 | $\$ 107,447$ |
| Not stated | $10 \%$ | 173 | $\$ 111,901$ |

## Years Employed in a Planning Position

Just under half of respondents (48\%) report being employed in a planning position for 10 years or less. Another $30 \%$ report being employed in a planning position for between 11-20 years. As expected, salaries rise as a function of tenure.


TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary | Av. Salary 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Chg } 2019 \\ & \text { to } 2023 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years employed in a planning position |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1727 | 1727 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| 5 or less | 26\% | 452 | \$78,679 | \$66,756 | 18\% |
| 6 to 10 | 22\% | 385 | \$100,143 | \$85,340 | 17\% |
| 11 to 15 | 15\% | 259 | \$114,086 | \$97,286 | 17\% |
| 16 to 20 | 15\% | 253 | \$126,700 | \$106,123 | 19\% |
| 21 to 25 | 8\% | 146 | \$137,569 | \$116,501 | 18\% |
| 26 to 30 | 5\% | 93 | \$144,222 | \$120,985 | 19\% |
| 31 to 35 | 5\% | 82 | \$145,962 | \$137,787 | 6\% |
| 36 to 40 | 1\% | 25 | \$166,905 | \$131,599 | 27\% |
| 41 or more | 2\% | 32 | \$120,179 | \$118,839 | 1\% |
| Mean | 13.7 | 13.7 |  |  |  |

## Belongs to a Union

Almost three-quarters of respondents (72\%) do not belong to a union. Those who do belong to a union appear to make around $84 \%$ of the national average salary.

Looking at average base salaries by employment levels, there may be a nominal advantage to being in a union at more junior employment levels, but at higher levels, there is either no advantage or an actual disadvantage to union membership.

Belongs to a Union


| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Chg $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |  |  |
| Belongs to a union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |  |
| Yes (Total) | $28 \%$ | 484 | $\$ 91,688$ | $\$ 84,455$ | $9 \%$ |  |
| Yes- CUPE | $12 \%$ | 203 | $\$ 88,738$ | $\$ 81,668$ | $9 \%$ |  |
| Yes - Other | $16 \%$ | 281 | $\$ 93,831$ | $\$ 86,576$ | $8 \%$ |  |
| No | $72 \%$ | 1237 | $\$ 116,518$ | $\$ 99,372$ | $17 \%$ |  |

Employment Level

|  | Exec./ <br> Principal | Senior | Int. | Junior | Entry- <br> level |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Average base salary* |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\$ 157, \mathbf{3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 5 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 1 , 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 2 , 6 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Yes (Total) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\$ 102,135$ | $\$ 89,311$ | $\$ 74,000$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Yes-CUPE | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\$ 99,737$ | $\$ 87,595$ | $\$ 75,897$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Yes-Other | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\$ 103,987$ | $\$ 90,185$ | $\$ 74,074$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| No | $\$ 157,143$ | $\$ 121,340$ | $\$ 92,603$ | $\$ 71,624$ | $\$ 66,250$ |

*mean annual base salaries - $n / a$ shown for base sizes below 30

## Current Employment Status as of September 1, 2023

Over three-quarters of respondents (78\%) are full-time salaried employees, with another $12 \%$ being fulltime hourly wage employees. The latter respondents make approximately $82 \%$ of the average national salary.

Current Employment Status as of September 1, 2023


TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary 2023 | Av. Salary 2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Chg } 2019 \\ \text { to } 2023 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current employment status as of September 1, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1727 | 1727 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| Full-time salaried emp. (30+h/wk.) | 78\% | 1342 | \$111,248 | \$97,021 | 15\% |
| Full-time hourly wage emp. (30+h/wk.) | 12\% | 205 | \$90,220 | \$78,271 | 15\% |
| Part-time salaried emp. (<30 h/wk.) | 1\% | 11 | \$72,727 | \$65,410 | 11\% |
| Part-time hourly wage emp. (<30 h/wk.) | 1\% | 11 | \$89,545 | \$53,880 | 66\% |
| Self-employed/Consultant | 3\% | 58 | \$117,759 | \$91,945 | 28\% |
| Owner/Principal | 3\% | 44 | \$158,295 | \$136,922 | 16\% |
| Unemployed | 1\% | 17 | \$0 | 0 |  |
| Leave/extended absence | 1\% | 15 | \$88,333 | \$79,415 | 11\% |

## Sector Currently Employed In

The two major sectors that respondents are currently employed in are local or regional municipalities (52\%), and private sector consulting (25\%). These two sectors account for over three-quarters (77\%) of respondents. Average salaries in private sector consulting slightly higher than those reported by those employed by local or regional municipalities


TOTAL

|  | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Av. Salary <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Chg.2019 <br> to $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currently employed in... <br> TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Local or regional municipality | $52 \%$ | 879 | $\$ 106,263$ | $\$ 94,621$ | $12 \%$ |
| Private sector - consulting | $25 \%$ | 418 | $\$ 109,581$ | $\$ 97,220$ | $13 \%$ |
| Provincial government | $6 \%$ | 105 | $\$ 98,857$ | $\$ 89,603$ | $10 \%$ |
| Private sector - land development company | $4 \%$ | 69 | $\$ 141,739$ | NA | NA |
| Regional Planning Agency | $2 \%$ | 39 | $\$ 102,051$ | $\$ 84,813$ | $20 \%$ |
| Federal government or agency | $2 \%$ | 36 | $\$ 120,694$ | $\$ 107,119$ | $13 \%$ |
| Educational institution | $2 \%$ | 35 | $\$ 119,286$ | $\$ 118,430$ | $1 \%$ |
| Self-employed | $2 \%$ | 31 | $\$ 120,484$ | NA | NA |
| Not for profit | $1 \%$ | 22 | $\$ 113,409$ | $\$ 72,642$ | $56 \%$ |
| Other | $4 \%$ | 60 | $\$ 121,583$ | $\$ 92,299$ | $32 \%$ |

## Years Employed with Current Organization

Over a third of respondents (37\%) have been employed by their current organization for less than three years. As would be expected, the longer the years employed with their current organization, the higher is the respondent's average salary.

Years Employed with Current Organization


TOTAL

|  | \% | $N$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. Salary } \\ & 2023 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. Salary } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Chg } 2019 \\ \text { to } 2023 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years employed with current organization |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| Less than 1 year | 5\% | 81 | \$94,259 | \$74,900 | 26\% |
| 1 year to less than 2 years | 19\% | 324 | \$96,667 | \$81,055 | 19\% |
| 2 years to less than 3 years | 13\% | 225 | \$98,689 | \$79,701 | 24\% |
| 3 years to less than 4 years | 9\% | 152 | \$102,434 | \$85,887 | 19\% |
| 4 years to less than 5 years | 7\% | 118 | \$112,203 | \$89,747 | 25\% |
| 5 years to less than 6 years | 7\% | 119 | \$110,798 | \$96,495 | 15\% |
| 6 years to less than 8 years | 8\% | 135 | \$109,111 | \$97,646 | 12\% |
| 8 years to less than 11 years | 9\% | 153 | \$117,418 | \$94,432 | 24\% |
| 11 years to less than 13 years | 4\% | 63 | \$119,841 | \$101,255 | 18\% |
| 13 years to less than 16 years | 5\% | 83 | \$123,735 | \$109,394 | 13\% |
| 16 years to less than 21 years | 7\% | 116 | \$126,767 | \$113,969 | 11\% |
| 21 years to less than 31 years | 6\% | 94 | \$132,872 | \$131,348 | 1\% |
| 31 years or more | 2\% | 32 | \$145,313 | \$128,725 | 13\% |
| Mean | 7.1 | 7.1 |  |  |  |

## Areas of Specialization within Current Job

The top six areas of specialization within respondents' current job are land use (69\%), policy/regulatory development (47\%), community development (40\%), long range/comprehensive planning (37\%), public engagement/ consultation (35\%), and subdivision planning and design (33\%). As in 2019, on average, each respondent has between 5 and 6 areas of specialization in their current job.

Areas of Specialization within Current Job


Facility planning is the area of specialization with the highest reported average salary, followed by municipal management, and infrastructure planning.

## TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Areas of specialization within current job |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | \$109,448 |
| Land use | 69\% | 1168 | \$109,585 |
| Policy/Regulatory Development | 47\% | 798 | \$110,827 |
| Community Development | 40\% | 681 | \$110,463 |
| Long Range/Comprehensive Planning | 37\% | 629 | \$114,197 |
| Public Engagement/Consultation | 35\% | 594 | \$110,412 |
| Subdivision Planning and Design | 33\% | 558 | \$114,131 |
| Housing | 31\% | 532 | \$117,961 |
| Zoning Administration | 31\% | 524 | \$110,048 |
| Rural Planning | 27\% | 451 | \$102,816 |
| Regional Planning | 23\% | 395 | \$111,722 |
| Urban Design | 23\% | 384 | \$118,633 |
| Environmental/Natural Resources Planning | 18\% | 303 | \$113,300 |
| Infrastructure Planning | 16\% | 276 | \$124,312 |
| Municipal Management | 15\% | 253 | \$125,316 |
| Economic Planning/Revitalization | 15\% | 250 | \$123,220 |
| Transit/Transportation Planning | 15\% | 248 | \$119,718 |
| Planning Law | 11\% | 193 | \$121,140 |
| Indigenous Comm. Planning/Engagement | 11\% | 190 | \$110,553 |
| Recreation/Parks Planning | 11\% | 185 | \$111,757 |
| Heritage Planning | 10\% | 174 | \$114,914 |
| Social Planning | 7\% | 127 | \$110,748 |
| Hazard Mitigation/Emergency Response Planning | 5\% | 86 | \$122,267 |
| Facility Planning | 5\% | 79 | \$132,089 |
| Other | 9\% | 146 | \$115,582 |

## Current Level of Employment

Respondents who are Executives or Principals (16\%) or those with senior-level experience (10+ years) together account for over half of respondents (56\%), while junior and intermediate respondents combined account for 41\%. Executive/Principal salaries have risen the most since 2019.

Current Level of Employment


TOTAL

|  | \% | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. Salary } \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | Av. Salary 2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Chg } 2019 \\ \text { to } 2023 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current level of employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| total respondents | 1695 | 1695 | \$109,448 | \$95,078 | 15\% |
| Executive/Principal | 16\% | 271 | \$157,343 | \$129,738 | 21\% |
| Senior (10+ years) | 40\% | 672 | \$115,990 | \$103,466 | 12\% |
| Intermediate (4 to 9 years) | 29\% | 488 | \$91,270 | \$80,163 | 14\% |
| Junior (1 to 3 years) | 12\% | 198 | \$72,626 | NA | NA |
| Entry-level/recent graduate | 3\% | 45 | \$65,000 | NA | NA |
| Other | 1\% | 21 | \$146,905 | \$97,391 | 51\% |

Supervises and/or Manages Other Staff or Employees

Respondents are about equally split between those who supervise or manage other staff (49\%) and those who do not (51\%). Staff supervisors have a significantly higher average salary than nonsupervisors (43\% higher).

## Supervises and/or Manages Other Staff or Employees



TOTAL

|  |  |  | Av. Salary |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Av. Salary | \% Chg 2019 <br> Supervises and/or manages other staff <br> or employees | \% |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Number of Persons Supervised/Managed

In terms of the number of staff supervised, roughly $30 \%$ of respondents supervise 1 to 2 people. Fortytwo percent supervise 3-7 people, while $29 \%$ supervise 8 or more people. As would be expected, the more people a respondent supervises, the higher is his/her salary.

## Number of Persons Supervised/managed



## Compensation

Annual base salary/income as of September 1, 2023

A little over half of respondents (52\%) fall into a base annual salary/income range of \$70-\$110K, while over a third (38\%) fall into the $\$ 110 \mathrm{~K}$ plus range.

The table below provides an estimated annual base salary by employment level.


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Less than \$50,000 | 11\% | 189 | 21\% | 15\% | 2\% | 4\% | 13\% | \$36,379 |
| \$50,000 to \$69,999 | 9\% | 144 | 3\% | 1\% | 6\% | 37\% | 47\% | \$60,000 |
| \$70,000 to \$89,999 | 24\% | 402 | 4\% | 10\% | 41\% | 53\% | 40\% | \$80,000 |
| \$90,000 to \$109,999 | 28\% | 478 | 8\% | 35\% | 42\% | 6\% | 0\% | \$100,000 |
| \$110,000 to \$129,999 | 17\% | 288 | 14\% | 30\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$120,000 |
| \$130,000 to \$149,999 | 9\% | 160 | 19\% | 15\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$140,000 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 8\% | 128 | 28\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$175,000 |
| \$200,000 or more | 4\% | 66 | 20\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$250,000 |
| Estimated average | \$109,449 | \$109,449 | \$157,343 | \$115,990 | \$91,270 | \$72,626 | \$65,000 |  |

Whether You Received a Bonus or Other Financial Incentive(s) in the Last 12 months

About a quarter of respondents (24\%) state that they received a bonus or other financial incentive in last 12 months. Looking at sector, $56 \%$ of those in private sector received a bonus while only $9 \%$ of those at local or regional municipality received a bonus. For those employed by federal, provincial, or territorial governments, the number was $16 \%$.

Received Bonus or Other Financial Incentive in Last 12
Months


TOTAL Employment Level

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received bonus or other <br> financial incentive in last 12 <br> months | \% |  | $\mathbf{N}$ | Exec.// <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry |
| Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Type of Bonus or Other Financial Incentive

Of the respondents who received a bonus or other financial incentive, $59 \%$ received a dollar amount, and about a quarter ( $24 \%$ ) received a percentage of their annual base salary.

Type of Bonus or Other Financial Incentive


TOTAL Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of bonus or other financial incentive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dollar amount | 59\% | 237 | 49\% | 54\% | 69\% | 67\% | 75\% | \$117,152 |
| Percent of annual base salary | 25\% | 99 | 22\% | 30\% | 23\% | 20\% | 0\% | \$124,495 |
| Prefer not to say | 17\% | 67 | 29\% | 16\% | 8\% | 11\% | 25\% | \$143,433 |

## Basis on which Bonus is Calculated

Bonuses are most frequently based on individual performance targets followed by company profits / targets.

Basis on which Bonus is Calculated


|  | TOTAL |  |  | Employment Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 284 | 284 | 75 | 97 | 72 | 36 | 2 | \$126,884 |
| Based on individual performance targets | 45\% | 127 | 51\% | 56\% | 28\% | 39\% | 0\% | \$140,236 |
| Based on company profits/ company targets | 27\% | 77 | 40\% | 18\% | 26\% | 28\% | 50\% | \$135,519 |
| Hours worked/ billable hours/ overtime hours | 6\% | 18 | 5\% | 5\% | 7\% | 11\% | 0\% | \$109,167 |
| Other bonus calculations | 43\% | 121 | 33\% | 43\% | 53\% | 36\% | 50\% | \$120,702 |

## Bonus Amount

Almost half of respondents who received a bonus (48\%) received a dollar amount of $\$ 2,000$ to less than $\$ 10,000$. About a quarter ( $24 \%$ ) received $\$ 10,000$ to less than $\$ 50,000$, while $10 \%$ received $\$ 50,000$ or more.


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 237 | 237 | 46 | 75 | 76 | 36 | 3 | \$117,152 |
| Less than \$1,000 | 8\% | 19 | 0\% | 9\% | 7\% | 17\% | 33\% | \$94,737 |
| \$1,000 to less than \$2,000 | 8\% | 20 | 0\% | 11\% | 9\% | 14\% | 0\% | \$83,750 |
| \$2,000 to less than \$5,000 | 27\% | 64 | 11\% | 23\% | 33\% | 44\% | 33\% | \$93,281 |
| \$5,000 to less than \$10,000 | 21\% | 49 | 11\% | 19\% | 32\% | 17\% | 0\% | \$101,633 |
| \$10,000 to less than \$20,000 | 14\% | 32 | 9\% | 19\% | 14\% | 8\% | 0\% | \$133,750 |
| \$20,000 to less than \$50,000 | 10\% | 24 | 30\% | 12\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$161,875 |
| \$50,000 to less than \$100,000 | 5\% | 12 | 13\% | 7\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$183,750 |
| \$100,000 or more | 5\% | 12 | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$206,250 |
| Estimated average | \$20,325 | \$20,325 | \$69,709 | \$12,506 | \$6,160 | \$3,446 | \$1,750 |  |

## Bonus Amount Percentage

Of the respondents who received a bonus as a percentage of their salary, around a quarter each (25$27 \%$ ) received less than $5 \%$ to less than $20 \%$. Sixteen percent received $20 \%$ or more. The estimated average is approximately $11 \%$.

Bonus Amount (\%)


TOTAL
Employment Level

| Avg. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\$ 124,495$ |
| Less than 5\% | $27 \%$ | 27 | $15 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 112,037$ |
| 5\% to less than 10\% | $25 \%$ | 25 | $30 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 123,200$ |
| 10\% to less than 20\% | $27 \%$ | 27 | $30 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 122,222$ |
| 20\% or more | $16 \%$ | 16 | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 146,875$ |
| Not stated | $4 \%$ | 4 | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 142,500$ |
| Estimated average \% | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

## Satisfactory Additional Amount of Bonus, as \% of Current Salary (Dissatisfied/ Very Dissatisfied in Previous Question)

Sixty-two percent of respondents who were dissatisfied with their bonus as a percentage of salary state that the additional satisfactory amount would range from $10 \%$ to less than $25 \%$ of their current salary. The average percentage is $23 \%$ of current salary.

Satisfactory Additional Amount, as \% of Current Salary
(Dissatisfied/ Very Dissatisfied in Previous Question)


## TOTAL

Employment Level

|  | \% | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 , 6 8 9}$ |
| Less than 5\% | $1 \%$ | 5 | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 111,000$ |
| 5\% to less than 10\% | $8 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $\$ 94,000$ |
| 10\% to less than 15\% | $23 \%$ | 111 | $18 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $\$ 93,964$ |
| 15\% to less than 20\% | $19 \%$ | 89 | $9 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $\$ 94,438$ |
| 20\% to less than 25\% | $20 \%$ | 96 | $30 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $\$ 96,510$ |
| 25\% to less than 30\% | $10 \%$ | 45 | $15 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 90,222$ |
| 30\% to less than 40\% | $4 \%$ | 19 | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 95,789$ |
| 40\% to less than 60\% | $3 \%$ | 15 | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 73,333$ |
| 60\% or more | $4 \%$ | 21 | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $\$ 91,190$ |
| Not stated | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | 32 | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $\$ 93,906$ |
| Estimated average | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 7}$ |  |

## Satisfaction with Overall Level of Total Compensation In 2023

Seventy percent of respondents state that they are very satisfied or satisfied with their overall level of compensation in 2023 ( $20 \%$ are very satisfied). Forty-one percent of Executives / principals are "very satisfied" with their total compensation.

Satisfaction with Overall Level of Total Compensation in 2023


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 20\% | 345 | 41\% | 20\% | 14\% | 11\% | 16\% | \$133,609 |
| Satisfied | 50\% | 846 | 44\% | 52\% | 50\% | 47\% | 62\% | \$108,794 |
| Dissatisfied | 23\% | 386 | 11\% | 21\% | 28\% | 33\% | 13\% | \$94,585 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5\% | 87 | 1\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 2\% | \$89,713 |
| Don't know/ not applicable | 1\% | 19 | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 7\% | \$90,263 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 12 | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | \$112,500 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 70\% | 1191 | 86\% | 72\% | 64\% | 58\% | 78\% | \$115,982 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 28\% | 473 | 12\% | 26\% | 35\% | 38\% | 16\% | \$93,689 |

## Agreement with: My Compensation Level Has Kept Up with My Job Responsibilities

Sixty-two percent of respondents strongly agree or agree that their compensation level has kept up with their job responsibilities (20\% strongly agree).

Agreement with: My Compensation Level has Kept up with My Job Responsibilities


|  | Employment Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 9}$ |
| Strongly agree | $20 \%$ | 343 | $37 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $\$ 130,175$ |
| Somewhat agree | $42 \%$ | 706 | $41 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $\$ 109,433$ |
| Somewhat disagree | $22 \%$ | 368 | $13 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $\$ 100,448$ |
| Strongly disagree | $14 \%$ | 234 | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $\$ 97,842$ |
| Don't know/not applicable | $2 \%$ | 34 | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $\$ 83,676$ |
| Not stated | $1 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 90,000$ |
| AGREE (NET) | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 6 , 2 1 5}$ |
| DISAGREE (NET) | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 9 , 4 3 5}$ |

## Agreement with: I am Fairly Compensated for the Work that I Do

Over two-thirds of respondents (68\%) agree that they are fairly compensated for the work they do (24\% strongly agree). The highest levels of agreement come from the most senior and junior levels.

Agreement with: I am Fairly Compensated for the Work that I Do


## Agreement with: My Compensation Is Fair but Other Benefits Are Lacking

A little over a third of respondents (38\%) state that their compensation is fair but other benefits are lacking (11\% strongly agree).

Agreement with: My Compensation is Fair but Other Benefits are Lacking


|  | TOTAL |  |  | Employment Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Strongly agree | 11\% | 187 | 12\% | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 13\% | \$112,995 |
| Somewhat agree | 27\% | 452 | 27\% | 28\% | 26\% | 23\% | 18\% | \$1145,80 |
| Somewhat disagree | 36\% | 605 | 31\% | 34\% | 39\% | 41\% | 40\% | \$104,355 |
| Strongly disagree | 23\% | 382 | 23\% | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% | 22\% | \$110,039 |
| Don't know/not applicable | 3\% | 55 | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | \$112,000 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 14 | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$90,357 |
| AGREE (NET) | 38\% | 639 | 39\% | 39\% | 37\% | 33\% | 31\% | \$114,116 |
| DISAGREE (NET) | 58\% | 987 | 53\% | 57\% | 61\% | 63\% | 62\% | \$106,555 |

## Agreement with: My Compensation Has Not Kept Pace with My Peers at Other

 OrganizationsForty-five percent of respondents strongly agree or agree that their compensation has not kept pace with their peers at other organizations (18\% strongly agree).

Agreement with: My Compensation Has Not Kept Pace with My Peers At Other Organizations


Agreement with: My Compensation Has Not Kept Pace with Others with Similar Professional Credentials

Almost half of respondents (47\%) strongly agree or agree that their compensation has not kept pace with others with similar professional credentials ( $19 \%$ strongly agree). This sentiment is most strongly expressed by those in the mid-levels i.e. senior, intermediate and junior respondents.

Agreement with: My Compensation Has Not Kept Pace with Others with Similar Professional Credentials


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Strongly agree | 19\% | 328 | 14\% | 19\% | 22\% | 24\% | 9\% | \$96,387 |
| Somewhat agree | 27\% | 462 | 20\% | 29\% | 26\% | 33\% | 36\% | \$103,669 |
| Somewhat disagree | 25\% | 430 | 28\% | 27\% | 25\% | 19\% | 24\% | \$117,512 |
| Strongly disagree | 11\% | 194 | 22\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 13\% | \$133,067 |
| Don't know/not applicable | 16\% | 266 | 14\% | 15\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% | \$104,868 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 15 | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$117,667 |
| AGREE (NET) | 47\% | 790 | 34\% | 48\% | 48\% | 57\% | 44\% | \$100,646 |
| DISAGREE (NET) | 37\% | 624 | 50\% | 37\% | 34\% | 26\% | 38\% | \$122,348 |

Anticipates an Increase or Decrease in Base Salary In 2024

Seventy percent of respondents anticipate an increase in base salary in 2024. Eighty-seven percent of those in an entry level position expect an increase.

Anticipates an Increase or Decrease In Base Salary In 2024


## Percentage Increase Expected

Forty percent of respondents expect a percent salary increase in 2024 of $2.1 \%$ to $4.0 \%$. On average, respondents expect an increase of 3.3\%.


| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 3 0 5}$ |
| $1.0 \%$ or less | $7 \%$ | 81 | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $\$ 99,877$ |
| $1.1 \%$ to $2.0 \%$ | $28 \%$ | 331 | $22 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $\$ 106,511$ |
| $2.1 \%$ to $4.0 \%$ | $40 \%$ | 480 | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $\$ 109,823$ |
| $4.1 \%$ to $6.0 \%$ | $14 \%$ | 168 | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $\$ 116,875$ |
| $6.1 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 76 | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $\$ 109,145$ |
| $10.1 \%$ or greater | $3 \%$ | 41 | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $\$ 114,634$ |
| Estimated average | $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$ |  |

## Aspects of Job That Are Not Being Properly Compensated

A third of respondents (34\%) feel that there are aspects of their job for which they are not being properly compensated.

Compensated e.g. Overtime, Expenses, Sick Pay, Etc.


| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Exec./ |  |  | Employment Level |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Salary |
| Yes | $34 \%$ | 581 | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 9}$ |
| No | $56 \%$ | 944 | $55 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $\$ 110,048$ |
| Don't know/ not applicable | $9 \%$ | 160 | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $\$ 106,625$ |

## Aspects of Job That Are Not Being Properly Compensated

Almost three-quarters of respondents (72\%) state that they are not being properly compensated for overtime, while $44 \%$ state that they are not being properly compensated for evening/weekend meetings.


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | Exec./ |  | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{5 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 2 2 5}$ |
| Overtime | $72 \%$ | 417 | $77 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | $62 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $\$ 112,770$ |
| Expenses | $13 \%$ | 76 | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $\$ 96,382$ |
| Personal leave | $22 \%$ | 125 | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $\$ 95,480$ |
| Professional dues | $15 \%$ | 86 | $8 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $\$ 95,116$ |
| Evening/weekend meetings | $44 \%$ | 253 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $\$ 113,439$ |
| Other | $16 \%$ | 95 | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $\$ 106,421$ |

Other Ways (Not Money) To Be Rewarded for Work

Two-thirds of respondents (66\%) state that there are other, non-monetary ways of being rewarded for work.

There Are Other Ways (Not Money) To Be Rewarded for Work
E.G. Recognition, More Responsibility, or Vacation Time


|  | TOTAL |  | Employment Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry |
| total respondents | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 |
| Yes | 66\% | 1116 | 43\% | 65\% | 78\% | 72\% | 58\% |
| No | 34\% | 570 | 56\% | 34\% | 22\% | 27\% | 42\% |

## Other Ways (Not Money) to Be Rewarded for Work

The top five non-monetary ways to be rewarded for work are more vacation (73\%), more professional development (49\%), more recognition (39\%), more flexibility in work hours (37\%), and more flexibility in work from home (34\%).


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | Exec./ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1116 | 1116 | 117 | 437 | 382 | 143 | 26 |
| More vacation | 73\% | 811 | 63\% | 68\% | 78\% | 80\% | 73\% |
| More responsibility | 20\% | 218 | 7\% | 17\% | 22\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| More recognition | 39\% | 431 | 29\% | 40\% | 40\% | 38\% | 31\% |
| Title change | 24\% | 269 | 7\% | 22\% | 27\% | 34\% | 35\% |
| More professional development | 49\% | 546 | 38\% | 46\% | 52\% | 55\% | 69\% |
| Coaching/mentorship provided | 29\% | 324 | 18\% | 30\% | 30\% | 34\% | 27\% |
| More flexibility in work hours | 37\% | 416 | 27\% | 37\% | 35\% | 50\% | 42\% |
| More flexibility in work from home | 34\% | 379 | 18\% | 33\% | 35\% | 45\% | 42\% |

## Workforce and Labour

Number of Hours Worked in Average Week in Past 12 Months
Respondents work an average of 40 hours a week. Seventy-one percent work between 35 to less than 45 hours a week.


|  | TOTAL |  |  | Employment Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Less than 25 | 2\% | 29 | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$82,414 |
| 25 to less than 35 | 4\% | 61 | 9\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 9\% | \$102,951 |
| 35 to less than 40 | 40\% | 672 | 10\% | 36\% | 53\% | 55\% | 58\% | \$95,424 |
| 40 to less than 45 | 31\% | 521 | 29\% | 33\% | 29\% | 29\% | 31\% | \$110,355 |
| 45 to less than 50 | 12\% | 204 | 19\% | 14\% | 9\% | 6\% | 0\% | \$127,525 |
| 50 to less than 55 | 7\% | 116 | 18\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | \$145,431 |
| 55 to less than 60 | 1\% | 25 | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$146,600 |
| 60 or more | 2\% | 34 | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | \$157,647 |
| Not stated | 2\% | 33 | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | \$100,455 |
| Estimated Average | 39.9 | 39.9 | 42 | 40.4 | 38.7 | 38.6 | 36.8 |  |

Number of Overtime Hours Worked in A Typical Week In Past 12 Months

Respondents report that they work an average of 5.7 overtime hours a month.


TOTAL Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| None (0) | 26\% | 433 | 24\% | 22\% | 26\% | 33\% | 51\% | \$101,605 |
| Less than 2 | 10\% | 175 | 3\% | 8\% | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | \$92,800 |
| 2 to less than 3 | 13\% | 212 | 5\% | 13\% | 15\% | 15\% | 16\% | \$101,887 |
| 3 to less than 4 | 7\% | 112 | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | \$103,616 |
| 4 to less than 5 | 4\% | 71 | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 0\% | \$106,761 |
| 5 to less than 6 | 14\% | 233 | 18\% | 15\% | 12\% | 8\% | 2\% | \$116,395 |
| 6 to less than 10 | 6\% | 106 | 9\% | 7\% | 5\% | 3\% | 2\% | \$122,264 |
| 10 to less than 15 | 8\% | 143 | 16\% | 10\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | \$133,287 |
| 15 or more | 10\% | 169 | 15\% | 10\% | 7\% | 10\% | 4\% | \$122,929 |
| Not stated | 2\% | 41 | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | \$111,707 |
| Estimated Average | 5.7 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 2.4 |  |

## Most Frequent Compensation Method for Overtime Hours

A third of respondents (33\%) report no additional compensation for overtime hours worked. This is most often the case amongst Executives / Principals. Another third (35\%) get their hours banked hour for hour (23\%), or at time and a half (12\%).

Most Frequent Compensation Method for Overtime
Hours


TOTAL

|  | т |  |  | Employment Leve |  |  |  | Avg. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry |  |
| total respondents | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,448 |
| Paid hour for hour | 7\% | 116 | 8\% | 6\% | 6\% | 9\% | 18\% | \$98,879 |
| Paid time and a half | 9\% | 144 | 1\% | 7\% | 13\% | 12\% | 20\% | \$89,549 |
| Banked hour for hour | 23\% | 389 | 9\% | 23\% | 28\% | 24\% | 33\% | \$99,743 |
| Banked time and a half | 12\% | 205 | 2\% | 10\% | 18\% | 19\% | 13\% | \$92,341 |
| Added vacation days | 2\% | 38 | 6\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$143,684 |
| Other time off/ in lieu arrangements | 7\% | 126 | 8\% | 9\% | 6\% | 6\% | 0\% | \$118,373 |
| Other payment arrangements | 2\% | 38 | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$120,395 |
| Other | 2\% | 29 | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | \$98,621 |
| No additional compensation provided | 35\% | 597 | 59\% | 38\% | 25\% | 25\% | 13\% | \$124,263 |

## Current Work Mode

Following the pandemic, almost two-thirds of respondents (63\%) have a hybrid working model.


Current Work Mode: Weekly Days in Office

Two-thirds of respondents (66\%) work from more than one day to three days a week in the office.


TOTAL

| Current work mode | \% | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4}$ | $\$ 107,970$ |
| 1 day or fewer | $18 \%$ | 190 | $\$ 115,737$ |
| $>1$ to 2 days | $34 \%$ | 358 | $\$ 106,313$ |
| $>2$ to 3 days | $32 \%$ | 340 | $\$ 106,632$ |
| $>3$ to 4 days | $14 \%$ | 146 | $\$ 104,726$ |
| $>4$ to $<5$ days | $1 \%$ | 11 | $\$ 97,727$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ | 16 | $\$ 116,875$ |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | 3 | $\$ 113,333$ |
| Mean | $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ |  |

Satisfaction with Work Mode: Fully Remote

Of those respondents who work fully remotely, $91 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with their work mode (68\% are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with Work Mode: Fully Remote


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Satisfaction with work mode: Fully remote |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\$ 108,958$ |
| Very Satisfied | $68 \%$ | 81 | $\$ 115,247$ |
| Satisfied | $23 \%$ | 28 | $\$ 88,214$ |
| Dissatisfied | $2 \%$ | 2 | $\$ 90,000$ |
| Very Dissatisfied | $2 \%$ | 2 | $\$ 110,000$ |
| Not stated | $6 \%$ | 7 | $\$ 124,286$ |
| SATISFIED (NET) | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\$ 108,303$ |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

Satisfaction with Work Mode: Hybrid

Of those respondents who work with a hybrid model, $91 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with their work mode (54\% are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with Work Mode: Hybrid


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Satisfaction with work mode: Hybrid |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4}$ | $\$ 107,970$ |
| Very Satisfied | $54 \%$ | 570 | $\$ 109,939$ |
| Satisfied | $37 \%$ | 392 | $\$ 106,684$ |
| Dissatisfied | $6 \%$ | 62 | $\$ 100,806$ |
| Very Dissatisfied | $2 \%$ | 20 | $\$ 105,500$ |
| Don't know/ not sure | $1 \%$ | 12 | $\$ 93,333$ |
| SATISFIED (NET) | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 2}$ | $\$ 108,612$ |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\$ 101,951$ |

Satisfaction with Work Mode: Fully In-Office/On-Site

Of those respondents who work fully in-office or on-site, $78 \%$ are very satisfied or satisfied with their work mode ( $41 \%$ are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with Work Mode: Fully In-office/On-site


TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Av. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with work mode: Fully in-office/on-site |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 488 | 488 | \$112,982 |
| Very Satisfied | 41\% | 201 | \$128,955 |
| Satisfied | 37\% | 182 | \$103,544 |
| Dissatisfied | 13\% | 63 | \$90,317 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5\% | 23 | \$100,652 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 0\% | 1 | \$100,000 |
| Not stated | 4\% | 18 | \$125,833 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 78\% | 383 | \$116,880 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 18\% | 86 | \$93,081 |

## Satisfaction with Your Job Overall

Eighty-nine percent (89\%) of respondents are very satisfied or satisfied with their job overall (40\% are very satisfied). Those who are satisfied also make a higher average salary than those who are dissatisfied.

Satisfaction with: Your Job Overall


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with: Your job |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| overall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 40\% | 682 | 63\% | 39\% | 31\% | 31\% | 56\% | \$118,394 |
| Satisfied | 49\% | 826 | 32\% | 50\% | 54\% | 58\% | 38\% | \$104,128 |
| Dissatisfied | 8\% | 139 | 2\% | 8\% | 12\% | 7\% | 7\% | \$99,784 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 2\% | 28 | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | \$107,679 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 1\% | 12 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | \$80,417 |
| Not stated | 0\% | 8 | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$113,750 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 89\% | 1508 | 95\% | 89\% | 85\% | 89\% | 93\% | \$110,580 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 10\% | 167 | 5\% | 10\% | 14\% | 9\% | 7\% | \$101,108 |

## Satisfaction with Your Base Salary

Three-quarters of respondents (75\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with their base salary (27\% are very satisfied). Unsurprisingly, the level of satisfaction appears to correlate with the amount of salary earned. Those in senior positions have higher levels of satisfaction. The satisfaction level in 2023 has dropped from that seen in 2019 (79\%).

Satisfaction with: Your Base Salary


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28b. Satisfaction with: <br> Your base salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 27\% | 454 | 52\% | 28\% | 18\% | 13\% | 11\% | \$132,588 |
| Satisfied | 48\% | 816 | 37\% | 51\% | 50\% | 46\% | 62\% | \$106,759 |
| Dissatisfied | 19\% | 315 | 6\% | 15\% | 25\% | 31\% | 22\% | \$91,016 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5\% | 90 | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% | 4\% | \$88,778 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 1\% | 13 | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$72,692 |
| Not stated | 0\% | 7 | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$85,714 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 75\% | 1270 | 89\% | 79\% | 68\% | 60\% | 73\% | \$115,992 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 24\% | 405 | 9\% | 20\% | 31\% | 39\% | 27\% | \$90,519 |

## Satisfaction with Your Work/Life Balance

Seventy-nine percent of respondents are very satisfied or satisfied with their work/life balance (31\% are very satisfied). Those who make a higher average salary and are in more senior positions are more dissatisfied with their work/life balance.

Satisfaction with: Your Work/life Balance


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28c. Satisfaction with: Your work/life balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 31\% | 523 | 35\% | 29\% | 30\% | 30\% | 53\% | \$109,637 |
| Satisfied | 48\% | 818 | 42\% | 50\% | 49\% | 52\% | 36\% | \$107,855 |
| Dissatisfied | 17\% | 283 | 20\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% | 11\% | \$114,240 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3\% | 54 | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 0\% | \$111,852 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 0\% | 8 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$92,500 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 9 | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$93,333 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 79\% | 1341 | 77\% | 79\% | 80\% | 82\% | 89\% | \$108,550 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 20\% | 337 | 23\% | 20\% | 18\% | 17\% | 11\% | \$113,858 |

Satisfaction with the Performance Recognition You Receive

Approximately three-quarters of respondents (74\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with the performance recognition that they receive ( $26 \%$ are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with: Performance Recognition You Receive


|  | TOTAL |  |  | Employment Level |  |  | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. |  |  |
| Satisfaction with: Performance Recognition you Receive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 26\% | 438 | 39\% | 24\% | 21\% | 23\% | 49\% | \$118,276 |
| Satisfied | 48\% | 810 | 44\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% | 33\% | \$107,512 |
| Dissatisfied | 18\% | 306 | 10\% | 20\% | 22\% | 15\% | 7\% | \$107,745 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5\% | 78 | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 2\% | \$93,205 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 3\% | 55 | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 9\% | \$102,273 |
| Not stated | 0\% | 8 | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$95,000 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 74\% | 1248 | 83\% | 72\% | 70\% | 73\% | 82\% | \$111,290 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 23\% | 384 | 13\% | 24\% | 28\% | 21\% | 9\% | \$104,792 |

## Satisfaction with Your Opportunity for Advancement

Over two-thirds of respondents (68\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with the opportunity for advancement ( $23 \%$ are very satisfied). The small sample of entry level respondents appear to be satisfied (78\%) with their opportunities for advancement.

Satisfaction with: Your Opportunity for Advancement


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with: Your opportunity for advancement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 23\% | 382 | 38\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 33\% | \$121,283 |
| Satisfied | 46\% | 772 | 45\% | 48\% | 42\% | 47\% | 44\% | \$110,181 |
| Dissatisfied | 20\% | 340 | 4\% | 21\% | 30\% | 20\% | 9\% | \$100,412 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 5\% | 90 | 1\% | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 0\% | \$94,389 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 6\% | 102 | 10\% | 5\% | 4\% | 9\% | 13\% | \$103,284 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 9 | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$106,111 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 68\% | 1154 | 83\% | 68\% | 59\% | 67\% | 78\% | \$113,856 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 25\% | 430 | 6\% | 27\% | 36\% | 24\% | 9\% | \$99,151 |

Satisfaction with Your Relationship with Those You Report To

Eighty-five percent of respondents (85\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with their relationship with those they report to (46\% are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with: Your Relationship with Those You Report to


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with: Your relationship with those you report to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 46\% | 787 | 49\% | 45\% | 44\% | 51\% | 51\% | \$110,184 |
| Satisfied | 39\% | 659 | 31\% | 42\% | 42\% | 35\% | 38\% | \$108,513 |
| Dissatisfied | 8\% | 130 | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | \$111,462 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 3\% | 52 | 1\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 0\% | \$90,865 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 3\% | 58 | 11\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | \$122,759 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 9 | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$106,111 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 85\% | 1446 | 80\% | 87\% | 85\% | 86\% | 89\% | \$109,423 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 11\% | 182 | 8\% | 11\% | 12\% | 12\% | 7\% | \$105,577 |

## Satisfaction with Your Relationship with Your Peers

Ninety-four percent of respondents (94\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with their relationship with their peers (54\% are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with: Your Relationship with Your Peers

tOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with: Your relationship with your peers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 54\% | 921 | 62\% | 51\% | 52\% | 58\% | 67\% | \$110,364 |
| Satisfied | 39\% | 665 | 31\% | 43\% | 41\% | 34\% | 29\% | \$109,541 |
| Dissatisfied | 4\% | 63 | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | \$99,365 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 1\% | 13 | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$100,000 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 1\% | 22 | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$105,682 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 11 | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$103,636 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 94\% | 1586 | 93\% | 94\% | 93\% | 92\% | 96\% | \$110,019 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 4\% | 76 | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | \$99,474 |

Satisfaction with Your Relationship with Those Who Report to You

Ninety-six percent of respondents (96\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with the relationship with those who report to them ( $53 \%$ are very satisfied).

Satisfaction with: Your Relationship with Those Who
Report to You


|  | TOTAL |  |  | Employment Level |  |  | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. |  |  |
| Satisfaction with: Your relationship with those who report to you |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS (Those with reports) | 827 | 827 | 227 | 417 | 146 | 20 | 2 | \$129,250 |
| Very Satisfied | 53\% | 441 | 62\% | 52\% | 45\% | 45\% | 0\% | \$133,141 |
| Satisfied | 43\% | 354 | 34\% | 44\% | 50\% | 55\% | 50\% | \$125,494 |
| Dissatisfied | 2\% | 18 | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 50\% | \$118,889 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 0\% | 3 | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | \$120,000 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 96\% | 795 | 96\% | 97\% | 95\% | 100\% | 50\% | \$129,736 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 3\% | 21 | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 50\% | \$119,048 |

Satisfaction with The Balance of Responsibilities in Your Current Position

Seventy-seven percent of respondents (77\%) are very satisfied or satisfied with the balance of responsibilities in their current position ( $25 \%$ are very satisfied). Executives/principals and entry level respondents display the highest levels of satisfaction on this dimension. With respect to the balance of responsibilities, satisfaction in 2023 has dropped from 2019 (81\%).

Satisfaction with: The Balance of Responsibilities in Your
Current Position

tOTAL
Employment Level

|  | \% | N | Exec./ Principal | Sr. | Int. | Jr. | Entry | Avg. <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction with: The balance of responsibilities in your current position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1695 | 1695 | 271 | 672 | 488 | 198 | 45 | \$109,449 |
| Very Satisfied | 25\% | 428 | 44\% | 24\% | 18\% | 21\% | 38\% | \$118,750 |
| Satisfied | 51\% | 871 | 43\% | 50\% | 57\% | 56\% | 49\% | \$107,101 |
| Dissatisfied | 18\% | 300 | 9\% | 21\% | 18\% | 19\% | 13\% | \$104,083 |
| Very Dissatisfied | 4\% | 63 | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | \$101,905 |
| Don't know/ not sure | 1\% | 19 | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% | \$115,526 |
| Not stated | 1\% | 14 | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | \$111,786 |
| SATISFIED (NET) | 77\% | 1299 | 86\% | 74\% | 75\% | 77\% | 87\% | \$110,939 |
| DISSATISFIED (NET) | 21\% | 363 | 12\% | 24\% | 23\% | 20\% | 13\% | \$103,705 |

Number of Employers Since 2019

A little over half of respondents (54\%) have been with the same employer since 2019. Forty-six percent (46\%) have been with more than one employer (31\% have been with two).

Number of Employers Since 2019


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Avg. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of employers since 2019 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 8}$ |
| 1-the same employer | $54 \%$ | 913 | $\$ 116,670$ |
| 2 | $31 \%$ | 526 | $\$ 104,373$ |
| 3 | $10 \%$ | 176 | $\$ 96,733$ |
| 4 | $3 \%$ | 51 | $\$ 86,961$ |
| More than 1 (NET) | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 8 5 7}$ |

Changed Positions in The Last 12 to 18 Months

Just over a third of respondents (35\%) have changed positions in the last 12 to 18 months, while twothirds (64\%) have not.

Changed positions in the last 12 to 18 months


| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changed positions in the last 12 to 18 months |  |  | N | Avg. Salary |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1695 | 1695 | $\$ 109,448$ |  |
| Yes | $35 \%$ | 599 | $\$ 102,579$ |  |
| No | $64 \%$ | 1090 | $\$ 113,330$ |  |

## Reason for Change

Respondents' top three reasons for changing positions in the last 12 to 18 months are to increase their compensation (39\%), to get a position with greater opportunities for advancement (36\%), and to secure a more senior position/responsibility (35\%).

Reason for change ( $2+$ employers since 2019 or changed positions in the last 12 to 18 months)


## TOTAL

|  | \% | N | Avg. Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason for change (2+ employers since 2019 or changed positions in the last 12 to 18 months) |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 945 | 945 | \$102,265 |
| To increase my compensation | 39\% | 371 | \$103,315 |
| To get a position with greater opportunities for advancement | 36\% | 340 | \$103,412 |
| To secure a more senior position/responsibility | 35\% | 326 | \$109,095 |
| For improved work culture | 24\% | 231 | \$103,225 |
| For better work/life balance | 23\% | 213 | \$101,150 |
| I wanted a career change | 15\% | 145 | \$99,207 |
| I wanted to make a physical move to a new region | 11\% | 107 | \$93,505 |
| My contract ended | 9\% | 82 | \$76,341 |
| Employer restructuring | 6\% | 58 | \$105,431 |
| My family situation changed | 6\% | 56 | \$95,536 |
| I wanted to work fewer hours | 6\% | 53 | \$98,208 |
| I wanted a hybrid work arrangement | 5\% | 45 | \$104,333 |
| For educational pursuits (e.g. went back to school) | 5\% | 43 | \$73,953 |
| I wanted to work remotely | 4\% | 36 | \$97,500 |
| I started my own business/consulting | 3\% | 26 | \$120,192 |
| Other | 16\% | 149 | \$100,973 |
| Not stated | 6\% | 53 | \$97,075 |

Whether You Will Be Looking for A Job with Another Employer in The Next 12 To 18 Months

Sixteen percent of respondents will be looking for a job with another employer in the next 12 to 18 months. Over half of respondents (54\%) are not planning to look for a job with another employer in the next 12 to 18 months, while $30 \%$ are undecided.

Will be Looking for a Job with Another Employer in the
Next 12 to 18 Months


|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Will be looking for a job with another employer in the next 12 to | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Avg. Salary |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ months |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\$ 109,448$ |
| Yes | $16 \%$ | 271 | $\$ 96,218$ |
| No | $54 \%$ | 907 | $\$ 115,744$ |
| Undecided | $30 \%$ | 508 | $\$ 105,591$ |

## Career Intentions and/or Plans Have Changed Since the Pandemic

Over three-quarters of respondents (77\%) state that their career intentions and/or plans have not changed since the pandemic.

Career Intentions and/or Plans have Changed Since the Pandemic


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | N | Avg. <br> Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Career intentions and/or plans have changed since the <br> pandemic |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\$ 109,448$ |
| Yes | $23 \%$ | 387 | $\$ 104,393$ |
| No | $77 \%$ | 1299 | $\$ 110,978$ |
| Not stated | $1 \%$ | 9 | $\$ 106,111$ |

Retirement Intentions Within the Next 12 to 18 Months

The great majority of respondents ( $93 \%$ ) do not intend to retire in the next 12 to 18 months. Four percent intend to retire, while $3 \%$ are undecided. The average salary of each group of respondents is reflective of their stage in their career earning cycle.

Intend to Retire Within the Next 12 to 18 Months


Anticipates Hiring More Professional and/or Candidate Planners in The Next 12 to 18 Months

Half of respondents (50\%) anticipate hiring more professional and/or candidate planners in the next 12 to 18 months. Anticipated hiring rates were reported highest levels in Ontario (53\%), BC (51\%), Quebec (50\%), and Alberta (49\%). Lower rates are anticipated in Atlantic Canada (43\%), Manitoba (39\%), and Saskatchewan (27\%).

Anticipates Hiring More Professional and/or Candidate Planners in the Next 12 to 18 Months


TOTAL Province

|  | \% | BC | AB | SK | MB | ON | QC | ATL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anticipates hiring more planners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |
| Yes | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| No | $29 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Undecided | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Other | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Number of Anticipated Positions

Among survey respondents, anticipated hiring appears to be focused more on the hiring of junior to intermediate staff. Additional salary details will be found on the subsequent table


When it comes to hiring at all, organizations in BC anticipate hiring above the national rate at the Junior, Intermediate, and Senior levels. However, looking at the number of positions being created, firms in Ontario plan to hire above the national rate in the same three levels: Junior, Intermediate, and Senior.

|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of anticipated <br> positions | $\%$ | BC | AB | SK | MB | ON | QC | ATL |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Hiring any at this level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry-level/recent graduate | $51 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Junior (1 to 3 years) | $40 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Intermediate (4 to 9 years) | $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Senior (10+ years) | $67 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Mean \# of positions anticipated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry-level/recent graduate | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ |
| Junior (1 to 3 years) | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ |
| Intermediate (4 to 9 years) | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ |
| Senior (10+ years) | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ |

Had Planning-Related Job Vacancies in the Last 12 Months

Two-thirds of respondents (67\%) reported that their firms had planning-related job vacancies in the last 12 months. The highest rate was reported in Alberta (73\%).

Had Planning-related Job Vacancies in the Last 12
Months

tOTAL

|  | $\%$ | N | Av. Salary |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Had planning-related job vacancies in the last $\mathbf{1 2}$ months |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 9 , 2 5 0}$ |
| Yes | $67 \%$ | 552 | $\$ 131,132$ |
| No | $33 \%$ | 273 | $\$ 125,311$ |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | 2 | $\$ 147,500$ |


|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Had planning vacancies | \% | BC | AB | SK | MB | ON | QC | ATL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | $67 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | $33 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Number of Planning Positions Opened

Over the past year, in total, survey respondents reported hiring rates that were very close across the entry-level, junior, and intermediate levels (all close to 50\%). Fewer respondents reported hiring any at the senior level ( $30 \%$ in total).

Entry-level/ recent graduate


Intermediate (4 to 9 years)


Junior (1 to 3 years)


Senior (10+ years)


Respondents from Ontario (a robust $\mathrm{N}=250$ ) reported hiring planners in numbers that met or outpaced the national average rates in both hiring at all as well as the number of positions created, across all experience levels.

|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of positions opened |  | $\%$ | BC | AB | SK | MB | ON | QC | ATL

## Reasons for Difficulties in Filling the Vacancies

The top three reasons given by respondents for difficulties in filling vacancies were candidates lacking the necessary experience (41\%), a poor fit with candidate qualifications (38\%), and unrealistic compensation expectations by the candidate (19\%).


## Benefits

Organization Offers Benefits to Employees

Ninety-five percent (95\%) of respondents state that their organization offers benefits to employees.

## Organization Offers Benefits to Employees



| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization offers benefits to employees | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Av. Salary |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 9}$ |  |
| Yes | $95 \%$ | 1608 | $\$ 109,543$ |  |
| No | $5 \%$ | 86 | $\$ 106,047$ |  |
| Not stated | $0 \%$ | 1 | $\$ 250,000$ |  |

## Benefits Provided by Employer

This chart ranks the benefits offered by employers. Medical and dental plans are the most frequently offered.

Which of the following benefits are provided by your employer?


The table below is sorted from highest to lowest in the total column. In order to highlight variation across management levels, the differences in percentages are shown. That is, the variation from the total percentage. For example, "Ability to work from home/remotely" under the Intermediate heading shows $6 \%$, meaning that that approximately $80 \%(74 \%+6 \%)$ of intermediate level respondents said that this was offered by their firm. Any variation of $+5 \%$ or higher is shown in orange.

|  | Total \% | Total <br> N | Exec./ <br> Principal | Senior | Intermediate | Junior | Entry Level | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 1623 | 1623 | 260 | 650 | 463 | 188 | 42 | \$109,507 |
| Medical for employees | 91\% | 1478 | -9\% | 3\% | 3\% | -3\% | -10\% | \$110,284 |
| Dental Plan for employees | 90\% | 1467 | -10\% | 4\% | 3\% | -3\% | -12\% | \$110,501 |
| Vision care insurance for employees | 87\% | 1413 | -10\% | 3\% | 3\% | -1\% | -8\% | \$110,280 |
| Medical for families of employees | 86\% | 1398 | -8\% | 6\% | 2\% | -8\% | -12\% | \$111,080 |
| Dental Plan for families of employees | 86\% | 1391 | -7\% | 5\% | 3\% | -9\% | -21\% | \$111,413 |
| Professional Member Dues | 84\% | 1361 | -5\% | 3\% | 3\% | -5\% | -22\% | \$111,102 |
| Continuing Ed. Seminars/Events/ Prof. Development | 84\% | 1360 | -4\% | 3\% | 1\% | -5\% | -20\% | \$111,048 |
| Vision care insurance for families of employees | 82\% | 1330 | -7\% | 5\% | 2\% | -8\% | -15\% | \$111,459 |
| Group Life insurance | 82\% | 1329 | -8\% | 3\% | 4\% | -8\% | -10\% | \$110,734 |
| Ability to work from home/remotely | 74\% | 1200 | -9\% | 1\% | 6\% | -3\% | -12\% | \$110,604 |
| Pension Plan | 68\% | 1098 | -20\% | 9\% | 5\% | -11\% | -13\% | \$108,242 |
| Employee assistance program | 58\% | 944 | -2\% | 11\% | -5\% | -21\% | -18\% | \$115,625 |
| Paid Parental Leave | 57\% | 923 | -13\% | 1\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | \$109,610 |
| Company cell phone | 54\% | 880 | 16\% | 7\% | -8\% | -23\% | -23\% | \$121,119 |
| Flex time | 47\% | 770 | -1\% | 2\% | -1\% | -4\% | -5\% | \$111,831 |
| Mentorship | 40\% | 644 | 5\% | -4\% | -2\% | 7\% | 10\% | \$114,806 |
| Health \& Wellness Spending/ Gym/ Sports Contribution | 37\% | 595 | -4\% | 4\% | -2\% | -4\% | 4\% | \$115,160 |
| RRSP Program/ Savings Plan | 35\% | 575 | -9\% | -4\% | 7\% | 7\% | 15\% | \$106,774 |
| Opportunities for sabbatical/ extended leave | 31\% | 503 | -8\% | 3\% | 1\% | -3\% | 2\% | \$110,308 |
| Cell phone reimbursement | 25\% | 413 | 10\% | 1\% | -5\% | -4\% | -6\% | \$121,259 |
| Support for volunteer activities or pro-bono work | 25\% | 409 | 7\% | -3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | \$114,914 |
| Parking Allowance/ reimbursement | 23\% | 377 | 11\% | 1\% | -5\% | -4\% | -7\% | \$123,050 |
| Transit pass reimbursement | 21\% | 337 | -10\% | 1\% | 2\% | 6\% | -6\% | \$106,884 |
| Car Allowance | 10\% | 168 | 8\% | 0\% | -4\% | 0\% | -3\% | \$125,625 |
| Profit sharing | 9\% | 154 | 8\% | -2\% | -2\% | 2\% | -5\% | \$128,831 |
| Company Car | 9\% | 151 | -2\% | -1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 3\% | \$104,172 |
| Car Share reimbursement | 8\% | 135 | -3\% | -1\% | 1\% | 6\% | -4\% | \$108,667 |
| Stock options | 6\% | 98 | 1\% | -2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% | \$117,194 |

## Benefits Provided by Employer - Detail of Pay Sharing Level

This table provides details on the benefits offered by employers i.e. the amount covered by the employer.

|  | Offered by Employer (NET) | Employer Pays All | Employer Pays More Than 50\% | Employer <br> Pays Half (50\%) | Employer Pays Less Than 50\% | Not stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical for employees | 91\% | 31\% | 41\% | 15\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Dental Plan for employees | 90\% | 27\% | 45\% | 15\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Vision care insurance for employees | 87\% | 22\% | 39\% | 16\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Medical for families of employees | 86\% | 26\% | 40\% | 14\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Dental Plan for families of employees | 86\% | 24\% | 42\% | 15\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Professional Member Dues | 84\% | 79\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Continuing Ed. Seminars/ Events/ Prof. Development | 84\% | 64\% | 11\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Vision care insurance for families of employees | 82\% | 20\% | 36\% | 15\% | 10\% | 4\% |
| Group Life insurance | 82\% | 28\% | 30\% | 18\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| Ability to work from home/remotely | 74\% | 58\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Pension Plan | 68\% | 10\% | 17\% | 37\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Employee assistance program | 58\% | 44\% | 7\% | 5\% | 2\% | 5\% |
| Paid Parental Leave | 57\% | 19\% | 20\% | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Company cell phone | 54\% | 50\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Flex time | 47\% | 39\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Mentorship | 40\% | 33\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 6\% |
| Health \& Wellness Spending/ Gym/ Sports Contribution | 37\% | 13\% | 6\% | 7\% | 12\% | 5\% |
| RRSP Program/ Savings Plan | 35\% | 4\% | 7\% | 18\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Opportunities for sabbatical/ extended leave | 31\% | 11\% | 5\% | 5\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| Cell phone reimbursement | 25\% | 16\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Support for volunteer activities or probono work | 25\% | 17\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| Parking Allowance/ reimbursement | 23\% | 19\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Transit pass reimbursement | 21\% | 8\% | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Car Allowance | 10\% | 7\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| Profit sharing | 9\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| Company Car | 9\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% |
| Car Share reimbursement | 10\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Stock options | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% |

Receives Other Benefits Not Listed Above

The majority of respondents (83\%) report that they do not receive any other benefits other than those listed previously.

Receives Other Benefits Not Listed Above


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | N | AVSAL |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receives other benefits not listed above |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 8}$ | $\$ 109,543$ |
| Yes | $8 \%$ | 123 | $\$ 112,154$ |
| No | $83 \%$ | 1340 | $\$ 109,485$ |
| Not stated | $9 \%$ | 145 | $\$ 107,862$ |

## Paid Vacation Time Received Per Year

Over half of respondents (59\%) report that they receive between 3 to 4 weeks of paid vacation per year. A quarter (25\%) receive five weeks or more. As expected, vacation time increases with seniority.

Paid Vacation Time Received Per Year


TOTAL
Employment Level

|  | Exec./ <br> Principal |  |  |  |  |  | Sr. | Int. |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | Jr. | Entry | Salary |  |  |  |
| 2 weeks | $6 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 9 , 4 4 9}$ |
| 3 weeks | $32 \%$ | 547 | $5 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $\$ 91,435$ |
| 4 weeks | $27 \%$ | 471 | $25 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 117,240$ |
| 5 weeks or more | $25 \%$ | 434 | $50 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 134,551$ |
| No paid vacation | $3 \%$ | 56 | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $\$ 94,821$ |
| Other | $1 \%$ | 23 | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 87,609$ |
| Not stated | $5 \%$ | 90 | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $\$ 108,707$ |

## Business Profile

Number of Years that the Firm Has Been in Business (Self-Emp./Cons. Or Owner/Principal)

Respondents report that about a third of firms (34\%) have been in business for 3 to 10 years, while another $11 \%$ report being in business for 11 to 20 years. A third (34\%) report being in business for 21+ years or longer.


TOTAL

|  | $\%$ | N |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> years that firm has been in business (Self- <br> emp./Cons. or Owner/principal in Q20) |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |
| 2 years or less | $14 \%$ | 14 |
| 3 to 5 years | $18 \%$ | 18 |
| 6 to 10 years | $16 \%$ | 16 |
| 11 to 15 years | $9 \%$ | 9 |
| 16 to 20 years | $2 \%$ | 2 |
| 21 to 50 years | $27 \%$ | 28 |
| More than 50 years | $7 \%$ | 7 |
| Not stated | $8 \%$ | 8 |
| Estimated average | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3}$ |

Over a quarter of respondents (28\%) report having one full-time staff member as of Sept. 1, 2023. Another $15 \%$ report having 2 to 5 staff, and $13 \%$ report having 6 to 50 staff. Eight percent of respondents report having more than 50 staff. The estimated average (26) is likely being pushed upwards by some larger staff numbers. There is a trend such that firms with larger staff pay larger base salaries.

Number of Full-Time Staff Employed as Of Sep 1, 2023


TOTAL

Number of full-time staff employed as of Sep 1, 2023 (Self-emp./Cons. or Owner/principal) TOTAL RESPONDENTS \% N

| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| None (0) | $13 \%$ | 13 |
| 1 | $28 \%$ | 29 |
| 2 | $8 \%$ | 8 |
| 3 to 5 | $7 \%$ | 7 |
| 6 to 50 | $13 \%$ | 13 |
| More than 50 | $8 \%$ | 8 |
| Not stated | $24 \%$ | 24 |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3}$ |

Almost a fifth of respondents (19\%) report having one part-time staff member, while a little over a fifth (22\%) report having 2 to 5 part-time staff. Another 6\% report having 6 or more. Thirty percent report having none.


Almost a third of respondents (31\%) report one professional or candidate planner. Another 18\% report having 2 to 5 . Thirteen percent report having over 5 professional or candidate planners, while a fifth (21\%) have none.

Number of Professional or Candidate Planners Employed as of
September 1, 2023


TOTAL

|  | TOTAL |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of professional and candidate planners <br> employed as of Sep 1, <br> 2023 (Self-emp./Cons. or <br> Owner/principal) |  | N |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 102 | 102 |
| None (0) | $21 \%$ | 21 |
| 1 | $31 \%$ | 32 |
| 2 | $2 \%$ | 2 |
| 3 | $6 \%$ | 6 |
| 4 | $4 \%$ | 4 |
| 5 | $6 \%$ | 6 |
| More than 5 | $13 \%$ | 13 |
| Not stated | $18 \%$ | 18 |
| Average | 4.4 | 4.4 |

## Employs International Professional Planners

Just 5\% of respondents state that they employ international professional planners.

## Employs International Professional Planners



| TOTAL |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employs any international professional planners | $\%$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |
| Yes | $5 \%$ | 5 |
| No | $55 \%$ | 56 |
| Prefer not to say | $2 \%$ | 2 |
| Not stated | $38 \%$ | 39 |

Hourly Billing Rate in 2023 (Self-emp./Cons. or Owner/principal)

Over half of respondents (53\%) report their hourly billing rate to be in the $\$ 150$ to $\$ 299$ range. Seven percent report an hourly rate of $\$ 300$ or more, and $15 \%$ report an hourly rate of $\$ 149$ or less. The estimated average is $\$ 192$.

Hourly Billing Rate in 2023 (Self-emp./Cons. or Owner/principal)


|  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N |
| Hourly billing rate in 2023 (Self-emp./Cons. or Owner/principal in Q20) |  |  |
| TOTAL RESPONDENTS | 102 | 102 |
| Less than \$75 | 1\% | 1 |
| \$75 to \$99 | 4\% | 4 |
| \$100 to \$124 | 4\% | 4 |
| \$125 to \$149 | 6\% | 6 |
| \$150 to \$174 | 18\% | 18 |
| \$175 to \$199 | 15\% | 15 |
| \$200 to \$299 | 20\% | 20 |
| \$300 or more | 7\% | 7 |
| Not stated | 26\% | 27 |
| Average | \$192 | \$192 |

